

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/736,117	12/15/2003	Lennart J. Brandel	7343-1	3626
JOHNS MAN	7590 08/28/200 VII.I.E.	9	EXAM	INER
Legal Department 10100 West Ute Avenue Littleton, CO 80127			CHOL PETER Y	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/28/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/736,117	BRANDEL ET AL.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	PETER Y. CHOI	1794			

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	Т					
THE REPLY FILED 18 August 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1. \[\textstyle{\	the					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) X The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN T MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension and the have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period or extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension for under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) set forth in (b) above, if checked, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely file may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ee) as					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.37 must be filed within two months of the date-filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Sin Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	ne					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1.3.4.6.7 and 11</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>12-15.19 and 21</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFF 4.1.33(d)(1).						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
1. A The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because See Continuation Sheet.						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. □ Other:						
/Peter Y Choi/ /Andrew T Piziali/						
Examiner, Art Unit 1794 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794						

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants argue that the Office appears to be picking and choosing the glass fiber yam of Draxo and combining it with the Jacquard weaving process of Land to arrive at the claimed invention. Examiner respectfully disagrees. Draxo does not require that the weave necessarily be produced using the any particular machine. Additionally, Land teaches that it was known in the wallcovering at to form a fabric for use in wallcovering comprising textured glass woven yarms, wherein the fabric is woven into various styles including Jacquard, and woven using known. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the wallcovering at at the time the invention was made to form the woven glass fiber textile fabric of Draxo, wherein the fabric is formed from the process as taught by Land, motivated by the desire of loring a conventional wallcovering with a style known in the wallcovering at to be predictably suitable for use in wallcovering, based on the desired physical properties of the fabric including style, appearance, hand, and loft.

Applicants argue that the results of combining Draxô and Land would not have been predictable given the different glass yarms disclosed by each of Draxô and Land. Examiner respectfully disagrees. Applicants appear to be arguing that the woven fabric of Draxô could not have been formed on the Jacquard weaving process of Land. However, Applicants do not provide evidence of such unsuitability such that one of ordinary skill in the art forming a known woven glass fiber textile fabric with a known weaving process would not be capable of predictably forming a woven class fiber textile fabric formed from the known process.

Additionally, although Applicants argue that Land teaches glass fiber yarns which are much larger than those presently claimed, Land teaches that the filaments should have an average diameter of approximately 0.0025 inch to ensure increased flexibility and strength. However, Land does not teach that the filaments necessarily have an average diameter of approximately 0.0025 inch. Additionally, the filament diamenters of Draxo appear to be within the scope of the filament diameters of Draxo appear to be within the scope of the filament diameters of Draxo appear to be within the scope of the filament diameters of Draxo appears to be within the scope of the filament diameters of Draxo appears to be within the scope of the filament diameters of Draxo appears to be within the scope of the filament diameters of Draxo appears to be within the scope of the filament diameters of Draxo appears to be within the scope of the filament diameters of Draxo appears to be within the scope of the filament diameters of Draxo appears the within the scope of the filament diameters of Draxo appears the within the scope of the filament diameters of Draxo appears to be within the scope of the filament diameters of Draxo appears the within the scope of the filament diameters of Draxo appears the within the scope of the filament diameters of Draxo appears the within the scope of the filament diameters of Draxo appears the within the scope of the filament diameters of Draxo appears the within the scope of the filament diameters of Draxo appears the within the scope of the filament diameters of Draxo appears the within the scope of the filament diameters of Draxo appears the within the scope of the filament diameters of Draxo appears the within the scope of the filament diameters of Draxo appears the within the scope of the filament diameters of Draxo appears the within the scope of the filament diameters of Draxo appears the scope of the Draxo appears the within the scope of the filament diameters appears the scope of the Draxo appears the